

The Shadow Government of the United States and the Decline of America

In spite of the difficulties facing America, there is still no other place I would rather live; however, our nation is at a crossroads, not unlike the difficulties faced by our forefathers. Many of the same conditions that prompted the Declaration of Independence prevail in America today. Of the indictments against the King of Great Britain, our Founders declared:

"He has erected a Multitude of new offices, and sent hither Swarms of Officers to harass our People and eat out their Substance."

There are literally hundreds of thousands of government employees administering various regulatory agencies with budgets in the billions of dollars. Regulatory compliance alone exceeds \$460 billion annually.

Our Founders, opposed to "taxation without representation," also declared that the King was:

"...imposing Taxes on us without our Consent."

Yet, taxation with representation now sees the average American working through May to meet his or her yearly local, state, and federal tax obligations.

Commenting on debt, Benjamin Franklin warned:

"The burden of debt is as destructive to freedom as subjugation by conquest."

Our legislators have not balanced the federal budget since 1969, and the national debt has, since 1980, quadrupled to over \$5 trillion. Its monthly interest costs now exceed the yearly interest costs of just twenty years ago.

The Declaration of Independence states:

"We hold these Truths to be self-evident, that all Men are created

equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights."

Our second President, John Adams, said:

"You have Rights antecedent to all earthly governments; Rights that cannot be repealed or restrained by human laws; Rights derived from the Great Legislator of the Universe."

Our Rights come from God. Why, then, are we reminded that our Rights come from the state (civil rights)? What the state gives, it can take away.

We frequently hear our nation referred to as a democracy, yet the word is not found in the Constitution. Rather, we see in Article 1, Section 4:

"The United States shall guarantee to every State in this Union a Republican form of Government,"

The Pledge of Allegiance contains:

"...and to the Republic, for which it stands..."

Commenting on our form of government, Chief Justice John Marshall stated:

"Between a republic and a democracy, the difference is like that between order and chaos."

The Soldiers Training Manual, issued November 30, 1928 gives the following definitions:

"TM 2000-25: 118-120, democracy - A government of the masses. Authority is derived through mass meeting or any other form of direct expression. Results in mobocracy. Attitude toward property is communistic, negating property rights. Attitude toward law is that the will of the people shall regulate, whether it be based upon deliberation, or governed by passion, prejudice, and impulse, without restraint or regard to consequences. Results in demagogism, license, agitation, discontent, and anarchy."

"TM2000-25: 120-121, republic - Authority is derived through election by the people of public officials best fitted to represent them. Attitude toward property is respect for laws and individual rights, and a sensible economic procedure. Attitude toward law is the administration of justice in accord with fixed principles, and established evidence, with a strict regard to consequences. A greater number of citizens and extent of territory may be brought within its compass. Avoids the dangerous extreme of either tyranny or mobocracy. Results in statesmanship, liberty, reason, justice, contentment, and progress."

1 Corinthians 7:23 says:

"You were bought at a price; do not become slaves of men."

It was upon this premise that our Founders drafted the Declaration of Independence, our Constitution, and the Bill of Rights. At the Constitutional Convention (1787), Benjamin Franklin declared:

"We have been assured...in the Sacred Writings, that except the Lord build the house, they labor in vain that build it."

Our duty as a nation was eloquently stated by George Washington:

"Whereas it is the duty of nations to acknowledge the providence of Almighty God, to obey His will, to be grateful for His benefits, and to humbly implore His protection and favor..."

Abraham Lincoln recognized this as well:

"It is the duty of nations, as well as of men, to owe their dependence upon the overruling power of God, and to recognize the sublime truth announced in the Holy Scriptures, and proven by all history, that those nations only are blessed whose God is the Lord."

In 1892, the Supreme Court recognized:

"Our laws and our institutions must necessarily be based upon and embody the teachings of the Redeemer of mankind. It is impossible that it should be otherwise....:"

What would be the reactions to such statements made today? Outcries of "separation of church and state"? Contrary to what is often said, this phrase will not be found in the Constitution.

The foregoing statements attest to the principles which established our Constitutional Republic. Are the difficulties confronting America today the result of disregarding the wisdom and the warnings of our Founders?

Norman Thomas, for many years the U.S. Socialist Party presidential candidate, stated:

"The American people will never knowingly adopt socialism. But, under the name of liberalism, they will adopt every fragment of the socialist program until one day America will be a socialist nation without knowing how it happened."

We have often heard many debates and discussions about the nation's debt. But who benefits from the \$800 million per day interest on the national debt? James 5:3 says:

"You have hoarded wealth in the last days."

Who is James referring to?

Does this have anything to do with the "New World Order"?

What are its goals?

Who is promoting it?

How much power and influence do they have?

How close is it?

Consider these words spoken by James Madison in 1788 as the story unfolds:

"I believe there are more instances of the abridgment of the freedom of the people by gradual and silent encroachments of those in power

than by violent and sudden usurpation."

For centuries, international bankers have enjoyed a lucrative business by financing governments, and command considerable influence in the policies of such governments. Repayment of these loans could usually be guaranteed by the indebted government levying taxes upon its people. If a king or government could not or would not repay its loans, its enemy or rival would be financed. The business of financing wars was very profitable.

As the wealth of these private banking empires increased, they eventually owned, as private corporations, the various central banks of Europe. Predominant among these was the House of Rothschild, with banking houses in major European capitals. Their seat of power was located in London, through control of the Bank of England. By loaning more paper into circulation than gold to back it (fractional reserve banking), they were able to amass fortunes. Some historians contend that by 1850, the House of Rothschild had more wealth than the combined monarchies of Europe.

What better way to expand economic control than by financing Europe's colonization? And what better prize was there than America, with her industrious people and vast resources? The War of Independence was not only independence from English rule, but economic independence as well. Our Founders drafted the Constitution so that only Congress had control over our monetary system, unlike the private monopolies controlling European economics.

Thomas Jefferson issued this warning:

"If the American people ever allow private banks to control the issue of currency, first by inflation, then by deflation, the banks and corporations that will grow up around them will deprive the people of all property until their children wake up homeless on the continent their fathers conquered."

In 1792, contrary to Jefferson's warning, Alexander Hamilton established a 20 year charter with the Bank of England. When the renewal was denied in 1812, war broke out again. The charter was renewed in 1816. In 1833,

President Andrew Jackson removed all moneys from this chartered bank and placed them into state banks. Mexico invaded Texas in 1836 after the renewal was again denied. Some historians say these wars were attempts by the European banking monopolies to disrupt and control America's economy. The Civil War continued this pattern. Abraham Lincoln said:

"The money powers prey upon the nation in times of peace and conspire against it in times of adversity. It is more despotic than a monarchy, more insolent than autocracy, (and) more selfish than bureaucracy. It denounces, as public enemies, all who question its methods or throw light upon its crimes. I have two great enemies, the Southern Army in front of me and the bankers in the rear. Of the two, the one at my rear is my greatest foe...corporations have been enthroned, and an era of corruption in high places will follow, and the money power of the country will endeavor to prolong its reign by working upon the prejudices of the people until the wealth is aggregated in the hands of a few, and the Republic is destroyed."

By the end of the 19th Century, American industrialists and bankers, through the Industrial Revolution, had achieved great wealth. An excellent account of this is Matthew Josephson's 1934 book, entitled "The Robber Barons; the Great American Capitalists 1861-1901 (by Matthew Josephson, Harcourt, Brace and Co. New York 1934; available secondary market).

The industrialists were known as "Big Business" and the Wall Street bankers as the "Money Trust". The most prominent of these was banker J.P. Morgan.

It was Morgan, working with the European banking dynasties, who created the "Financial Panic of 1907". This was an effort to manipulate Congress to approve of a central bank.

In 1912, Woodrow Wilson became President. His chief advisor and administrator was Col. Edward Mandell House, who was a proponent of world government, a representative of the European banking dynasties, and had close ties with the Morgan interests.

In 1912, House wrote a book, wherein he laid out a plan to bring America

into a world government. ("Philip Dru: Administrator", by Col. Edward Mandell House, 1912; available at General Birch Services, P.O. Box 8040 Appleton, Wis. 54913-8040). On page 222, he wrote:

"...our Constitution and our laws...are not only obsolete, but even grotesque."

His plan, to use his own words, "a conspiracy," would seek to achieve:

- * The establishment of a central bank;
- * A progressive graduated income tax; and
- * Control of both political parties in the U.S.

What was House's goal? "Socialism as dreamed of by Karl Marx". House, who called himself the "unseen guardian angel" of the Federal Reserve Act, in concert with the Wall Street and European bankers, convinced President Wilson of the central bank concept.

The Federal Reserve Act was passed on Dec. 23, 1913 (by a vote of 298 to 60 in the House of Representatives, and 43 to 25 in the Senate).

After the vote, Congressman Charles A. Lindberg, Sr. (father of the famous aviator) told Congress:

"This act establishes the most gigantic trust on earth...When the President signs this act, the invisible government by the money power, proven to exist by the Money Trust Investigation, will be legalized... The new law will create inflation whenever the trusts want inflation. From now on depressions will be scientifically created."

The Fed was then able to manipulate the money supply. In the six years prior to the 1929 Stock Market Crash, the Fed increased (or inflated) the money supply 62%, inducing unwise investments and market speculation by the public. When everything was in place, the bankers, who had been financing market speculation, called in their "24 hr. broker call loans", precipitating the Crash.

They were then in a position to loan the government billions of dollars to finance the nation out of the Depression. Congressman Louis McFadden, Chairman of the House Banking Committee, (1920-1931) said this:

"It (the Depression) was not accidental. The international bankers sought to bring about a condition of despair here so that they might emerge as rulers of us all".

How powerful is the Fed? Congressman Wright Patman, Chairman of House Banking Committee (in the 60's) said:

"In the U.S. today, we have in effect, two governments...we have the duly constituted government...then we have an independent, uncontrolled and uncoordinated government in the Federal Reserve System, operating the money powers which are reserved to the Congress by the Constitution."

The Fed has never been audited, and has resisted all attempts to do so. Lt. Col. Archibald Roberts (Director of Committee to Restore the Constitution) began a campaign on March 30, 1971, testifying before Wisconsin legislators about the fraud surrounding the Fed.

The text of his address is in the Congressional Record, E3212-E3224, entered April 19, 1971. Roberts and other constitutional groups (including Washington state Senator Jack Metcalf) had, by the mid 80's, acquired about 20 states' support calling for audits/reforms, with no success.

Again, in the late 80's, Congressman Henry Gonzales (Texas) called for abolition of the Federal Open Market Committee and the repeal of the Federal Res. Act. (HR 1469, 1470).

Congressman Phil Crane (Illinois) also introduced HR 70, calling for an audit. (Currently, Gonzales and Crane are calling for an audit/reforms under HR 28 and 145; Senator Byron Dorgan, (N. Dakota) is calling for a similar bill in the Senate, S212).

Since Wilson took office, the national debt has risen from \$1 billion to over \$5 trillion - that's just "on budget" debt. When added to the "off budget" debt of the S&L debacle and unfunded retirement liabilities, the total now exceed our GDP.

Is the country bankrupt? Roosevelt declared so by Executive Orders 6073, 6102,6111, and also by EO 6260 on March 9, 1933 (as proclaimed under the "Trading With the Enemy Act of 65th Congress, Oct. 6, 1917, and as codified at 12 U.S.C.A. 95a, which allows the President exceptional control under a "state of emergency"). On April 5, 1933, FDR issued this EO:

"All persons are required to deliver on or before May 1, 1933 all gold coins, gold bullion, and gold certificates now owned by them to a Federal Reserve Bank, branch or agency, or to any member bank of the Federal Reserve System."

On June 5, 1933, Congress confirmed the bankruptcy. (through the "Joint Resolution to Suspend The Gold Standard And Abrogate The Gold Clause, June 5, 1933"). This was HJR 192, 73rd Congress, 1st session.

Then, through another EO issued by President Johnson in 1968, silver backing was removed from our currency. This made the country insolvent. The Fed issues currency no longer redeemable for silver. Furthermore, the Fed is not a government institution.

You will never find them listed in phone directories under "government offices". It is a private corporation owned by approximately 300 Class A stockholders. These people own the Fed by owning the stock of the largest member banks in the New York Federal Reserve Bank, which, for all practical purposes, is the Federal Reserve.

The controlling interest is held by less than a dozen international bankers, whose names, until recently, was one of the best-kept secrets of international finance:

Rothschild Banks of London & Berlin

Lehman Bros. Bank of N.Y.

Lazard Bros. Banks of Paris
Kuhn, Loeb Bank of N.Y.
Israel Moses Sief Banks of Italy
Chase Manhattan Bank of N.Y.
Warburg Bank of Hamburg & Amsterdam
Goldman, Sachs Bank of N.Y.

The most influential of the European interests is the Rothschild family in London. Each of the American interests is, in various ways, connected to this family, including the Rockefellers, who are by far the most powerful of the Fed's American stockholders (primarily through the Chase Manhattan Bank).

The Fed, like other central banks, uses a system called "fractional banking." Deposits become the "fraction", allowing the system to "create" as much as 770% of that deposit:

i.e.: a \$1,000 deposit becomes approximately \$7,700 a \$10,000 deposit becomes approximately \$77,000.

In addition, examine the "amount due" on your property tax statement: You will not find a dollar sign affixed to that "amount".

Why? The Currency Act of 1792, which has never been revoked, defines a dollar as 412.5 grains of 9/10 fine silver (originally 371.25 grains of 11/12 fine silver). Federal Reserve Notes are not redeemable in silver. To affix a dollar sign to the "amount due" would be fraudulent. They know this - and you don't.

Article 1, Section 8, Clause 5 says that only Congress has the power to..."coin money, regulate the value thereof...and fix the standard of weights and measures.' Article 1, Section 10, Clause 1 says that No State shall...make anything but gold and silver coin a legal tender in payment of debts..." The Federal Reserve operates in violation of the Constitution.

Since Col. House helped establish the Federal Reserve Act, and believed in

socialism, is the central bank concept Marxist?

Plank 5 of Karl Marx's Communist Manifesto reads:

"Centralization of credit in the hands of the State, by means of a national bank with State capital, and an exclusive monopoly".

House also knew, that in addition to controlling a nation's monetary system, a method of taxation had to be established, and in 1913, the 16th Amendment was passed.

This graduated income tax was hailed by proponents as a "tax on the wealthy" (sound familiar?). Nothing could have been farther from the truth. As with the passage of the Federal Reserve Act,

"Big Business" and the Wall Street bankers publicly denounced, but privately funded its promotion and passage. Why? Through their influence in government circles, they insured the necessary loopholes in the bill were included - tax-exempt foundations. By the time the 16th Amendment was passed, they had already established the Rockefeller and Carnegie Foundations.

Their wealth was allowed to compound tax-free while their competitors were saddled with tax burdens. The Amendment also allowed Congress to increase and broaden the tax on the general public.

Until 1929, the size and cost of the Federal government was Constitutionally limited: it borrowed little money and paid little interest.

The Depression years following the Stock Market Crash changed all this. With the country officially bankrupt in 1933, taxes could now be broadened and increased to finance government borrowing.

Roosevelt's New Deal began the era of entitlements which are with us today.

Two points must be made here:

1) The 16th Amendment was never properly ratified. This has been proven in court. Two of the 36 states that had allegedly ratified the amendment were California and Kentucky - There is no record of California's vote, and Kentucky legislators voted against it 22-9. This violates the amendment procedure of our Constitution, Article V.

2) The 16th Amendment has Marxist roots. Plank 2 of the Communist Manifesto calls for:

"a heavy progressive or graduated income tax".

Thus, the conspirators, through financial influence and brilliant deception, had established the mechanisms to:

run up the debt;
collect the debt; and for themselves,
to avoid the taxes necessary to pay the interest on the debt.

The pillage of America began in earnest during the Depression. The Fed deflated (reduced) the money supply, forcing thousands into bankruptcy and foreclosure. The following was intended for leading financiers only, and appeared in the Civil Servants' Year Book, "The Organizer", Jan. 1934"

"Capital must protect itself in every way...Debts must be collected and loans and mortgages foreclosed as soon as possible. When through a process of law the common people have lost their homes, they will be more tractable and more easily governed by the strong arm of the law applied by the central power of leading financiers. People without homes will not quarrel with their leaders. This is well known among our principal men now engaged in forming an imperialism of capitalism to govern the world."

Plank no.1 of the Communist Manifesto is "abolition of private property".

The conspirators knew that the American people had to somehow be convinced into paying for the government borrowing that began during the Depression, Their opportunity came during W.W.II with the victory Tax Act of 1942 (56

Stat, Ch. 619, pg. 884; October 21, 1942). This was a voluntary and temporary measure to help finance the war effort. Why was it voluntary? Article I, Section 2, Clause 3 and Article I, Section 9, Clause 4 of the Constitution forbids direct taxation unless apportioned. Apportionment means to divide equally among the population (census). The last time direct taxes were laid upon the American people by apportionment was during the Civil War.

The Victory Tax Act of 1942 was repealed on May 29, 1944 (58 Stat, Ch. 210, pg. 234). With American patriotism high and D-Day a week away, who would notice that this voluntary unapportioned direct tax had been repealed? The clauses of the Constitution regarding direct taxation are still law. The 16th Amendment gave Congress "no new powers of taxation" (Stanton vs. Baltic Mining Co., 240 U.S. 103). The "...incomes...without apportionment..." in the 16th Amendment places it in the category of an indirect (excise) tax (Brushaber vs. Union Pacific RR Co., 240 U.S. 1). Simply stated, it is a profits tax.

How would the government operate without personal income tax revenues? With imposts, duties, and excises (Article I, Section 8, Clause 1). Can you see why NAFTA and GATT is promoted? The 1984 Grace Commission, Report to the President, pg. 4 reveals where personal income taxes go:

"100% of what is collected is absorbed solely by interest on the Federal Debt and by Federal transfer payments. In other words, all individual income tax revenues are gone before one nickel is spent on the services taxpayers expect from their government."

Can you begin to see why there have been 195 tax increases since 1933?

Today, three quarters of the Federal budget is unconstitutional, according to Article 1, Section 8, Clauses 2-18. Why do our elected representatives allow this?

Remember, the third item on Col. House's agenda was to control both political parties of the U.S.

"Tragedy and Hope, A History of the World in Our Time" (by Carroll Quigley, 1966, The MacMillan Co., N.Y.; available from Angriff Press, Box 2726, Hollywood, California 90028) was written by Georgetown University Professor Carroll Quigley.

It was intended as a text for aspiring globalists, and gives a 1300 page account of the history, mechanisms, and goals through which a ruling financial dynasty would control a global economy. On page 52, the author writes that the international bankers were:

"...devoted to secrecy and the secret use of financial influence in political life."

There are two organizations formed by and intimately associated with the international bankers:

The Council on Foreign Relations and The Tri Lateral Commission

Let's examine briefly their background and their stated goals.

Because America refused to join the League of Nations following WWI, representatives from the American financial dynasties met with their British counterparts in Paris on May 19, 1919.

The American contingent was led by Col. House and became known as the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR). It was founded on July 29, 1921, backed by Rockefeller and Carnegie Foundation money.

It included many of the same people who established the Federal Reserve. Its quarterly journal, Foreign Affairs, has called for world government as far back as December, 1922.

CFR member James Warburg (whose father Paul Warburg merged his Manhattan Bank with Rockefeller's Chase Bank), a former aide to FDR, told the Senate Foreign Relations Committee this on Feb. 17, 1950:

"You shall have world government, whether or not you like it, by

conquest or consent.

On Nov. 25th, 1959, the CFR published Study NO.7 which spells out their goal:

"...building a new international order (which) must be responsive to world aspirations for peace (and) for social and economic change...an international order with states labeling themselves as Socialist."

In the 50th anniversary issue of "Foreign Affairs", a leading article was written by CFR member Kingman Brewster, Jr., entitled "Reflections on Our National Purpose". In this article, he stated that our national purpose should be to abolish our nationality.

In a 1974 article in "Foreign Affairs", entitled "The Hard Road to World Order", CFR member Richard Gardner wrote that the house of world order would have to be built through:

"...an end run around our national sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece."

As of June 1992, the CFR has 2905 members in the U.S. (1992 Annual Report, the Council on Foreign Relations, the Harold Pratt House, 58 East 68th St., N.Y., N.Y.10021

The second organization, The Tri Lateral Commission, was formed in 1973 by David Rockefeller (grandson of John D. Rockefeller). David Rockefeller has also been a director of the CFR since 1949.

The Tri Lat was the brainchild of Columbia University professor Zbigriew Bzrezinski, who, in his book "Between Two Ages", advocated an economic alliance among the western industrialized nations.

Rockefeller hired Bzrezinski, made him the executive director, and established a tri-lateral alliance encompassing Japan, North America, and western Europe. Its first meeting was held in Tokyo Oct. 21, 1973.

In Brezinski's book, the author states:

on page 198 - America is in obsolescence; on page 260 - he advocates central (Marxist) planning; on page 296 - he wants to develop limitations on sovereignty; on page 308 of his 309 page book, he finally states his goal: a world government.

Henry Kissinger is one of the most powerful and influential members of the Tri Lat (also CFR). He was interviewed by columnist Paul Scott, who said that it is Kissinger's belief that by controlling energy, especially oil, one can control nations and their financial systems.

By placing food, oil, and the world's monetary system under international control, Kissinger is convinced that a world government can become a reality. As of April, 1992, the Tri Lateral Commission has 320 members divided up among Japan, North America, and Western Europe. (The Tri Lateral Commission, 345 East 46th Street, N.Y., N.Y. 10017 or call 212-661-1180)

The Tri Lat's goal is a world government, the same as that of the CFR. The first 35 members of the Tri Lat were also members of the CFR. They both have as members some of the most elite names in finance, industry, media, labor, academic circles, and government. Their rosters read like a who's who in these areas.

What's the difference between the CFR and the Tri Lat?

The CFR has been around since 1921, the Tri Lat, since 1973. The only difference is the fact that the Tri Lat is an elite group, who's been chosen to speed up the process of a one-world government through the control of food, energy, and an international monetary system.

Keep in mind that economic union always precedes political union.

Let's examine the CFR and Tri Lat influence in government.

CFR control in government actually began in earnest in 1939 by establishing within the U.S. State Department a "Committee on Post-War Problems", the

group (staffed and funded by the CFR) which designed the United Nations. (the story of which is contained in State Dept. Publication 2349-"Report To The President On The Results of the San Francisco Conference").

Since WWII, the CFR has filled key positions in virtually every administration since then. Furthermore, since Eisenhower, every man who has won the nomination for either party (except Goldwater in 1964 and Reagan in 1980) has been a member of the CFR:

Democrats

- * John W. Davis(1924)
- * Adlai Stevenson (1952,56)
- * John F. Kennedy (196b)
- * Hubert Humphrey (1968)
- * George McGovern (1972)
- * Jimmy Carter (1976,80)
- * Walter Mondale (1984)
- * Michael Dukakis (1988)
- * Bill Clinton (1992)

Republicans

- * Herbert Hoover (1928,32)
- * Wendell Wilkie (1940)
- * Thomas Dewey (1944,48)
- * Dwight Eisenhower (1952,56)
- * Richard Nixon (1960,68,72)
- * Gerald Ford (1976)
- * George Bush (1988,92) (who was also a director of the CFR 1977-1979)

An important point must be stressed: By controlling the candidates we vote for, the CFR is assured their goal of world government.

Why? Because CFR presidents fill key positions with CFR members by APPOINTMENT. (List of 110 Nixon appts. can be found in "None Dare Call it Conspiracy, by Gary Allen, 1972; available from General Birch Services, P.O. Box 8040, Appleton, Wis. 54913)

Since 1973, Tri Lat members have also filled key positions. One of the first members of the Tri Lat was Jimmy Carter, whose administration had 19 Tri Lateralists including:

Vice President Mondale
Secretary of State Vance
Secretary of the Treasury Blumenthal
Secretary of Defense Brown
National Security Advisor Bzrezinski

These five positions made up the National Security Council, and were held by members of an organization which advocates world government - was their oath of office to uphold the Constitution a mere formality? Others included:

- 1) nearly all of the undersecretaries of State;
- 2) nearly all of the undersecretaries of Treasurer;
- 3) the Panama Canal Treaty negotiator;
- 4) the Salt Treaty negotiator;
- 5) the Ambassador to the U.N. Andrew Young;
- 6) the Ambassador to Italy, Richard Gardner (remember, the Israel Moses Sief Banks of Italy are top stockholders in the Fed, and Gardner advocated an "...end run around our national Sovereignty, eroding it piece by piece.")

An article about Bzrezinski(Carter's National Security Advisor, executive director of the Tri Lat, and author of "Between Two Ages") and Carter appeared in the March 1, 1978 New York Times:

"The two men met for the first time about four years ago, when Mr. Bzrezinski was the executive director of the Tri Lateral Commission and had the foresight to ask the then obscure governor of Georgia to join its ranks. Their initial teacher-student relationship blossomed during the campaign and appears to have grown closer still."

Bzrezinski(teacher)praises Marxism, thinks the U.S. is in obsolescence, and advocates a world government; the student was the President of the United

States!

Another article, written by CFR past president Winston Lord, appeared in the August 4-II, 1978 issue of "W" magazine. He boasted:

"The Tri Lateral Commission doesn't secretly run the world,
the Council of Foreign Relations does that."

Winston Lord is currently the Assistant Secretary for East Asian/Pacific Affairs (State Dept.) and special envoy to Red China.

Contrary to candidate Clinton's "stand" on human rights, Red China has recently received an unconditional MFN trade status with the U.S.; never mind that over 10,000 Chinese dissidents have been murdered since Tianemen Square.

The CFR domination of just the last three Administrations reveals:

347 under Reagan;
382 under Bush, and
387 under Clinton (over 1 in 8 of their total membership)

The following CFR members hold top positions in our current Administration:

President Bill Clinton
Vice President Al Gore
Secretary of State Warren Christopher
Deputy Secretary of State Clifton R. Wharton
CIA Director R. James Woolsey
National Security Advisor W. Anthony Lake
Deputy National Security Advisor Samuel R. Berger
Secretary of Defense Les Aspen
Chrmn., Intel. Adv. Bd. William J. Crowe
U.N. Ambassador Madeleine Albright
Sec. H.& H.S. Donna E. Shalala
OMB Alice M. Rivlin
Secretary H.U.D. Henry G. Cisneros

Chrmn., Council Ec. Advisors Laura D. Tyson
Secretary of the Treasury Lloyd M. Bentsen (former CFR)
Secretary of the Interior Bruce Babbitt
Supreme Court Justice Ruth Bader Ginsberg

There are 370 others as well.

Have you ever wondered why, since WWII, U.S. foreign policy has allowed Communist expansion? Consider the roles of the following CFR members since WWII:

George Marshall and Dean Acheson engineered the betrayal of Chiang Kai-shek, allowing communist takeover of China;

Dean Acheson and Dean Rusk arranged the no-win undeclared war in Korea and the removal of General McArthur;

John Foster Dulles and Allen Dulles, under CFR President Eisenhower betrayed Hungarian freedom fighters and knowingly brought Fidel Castro to power in Cuba;

McGeorge Bundy, Adlai Stevenson, and John J. McCloy saw to it that the Bay of Pigs invasion to oust Castro failed;

Dean Rusk, Robert McNamara, and Henry Cabot Lodge pushed the U.S. into Vietnam - and then drew up the rules making victory impossible;

Richard Nixon and Henry Kissinger continued these policies, which led to communist takeover of South Vietnam, Cambodia, and Laos;

Henry Kissinger, Ellsworth Bunker, and Sol Linowitz arranged for the Panama Canal giveaway - and gave \$400 million dollars to that Marxist dictatorship to take it;

Under leadership of Zbigniew Brzezinski, Cyrus Vance, and Warren Christopher, the Carter Administration undermined U.S. allies in Iran and Nicaragua;

Under Reagan, George Schultz, William J. Casey, and Malcom Baldrige arranged U.S. foreign aid to communist Romania, communist Poland, and the U.S.S.R.; they also impeded anti-Communists in El Salvador and Nicaragua.

Why? It is easier to control oppressive Marxist regimes than nations of free men - in a one-world socialist government.

Yes, Col. House's agenda of controlling both political parties in the U.S. has been achieved, through, as Madison warned, "silent and gradual encroachment." An excellent history of the CFR is found in "The Shadows of Power" (James Perloff, 1988, Western Island Publishers, P.O. Box 8040, Appleton, Wis. 54913, 1-414-749-3783).

Just as Col. House led an American contingent to Paris in 1919, there are also powerful like-minded organizations in Europe, through financial control, promoting a one-world government.

The most prominent of these is the Bilderbergers (est. in 1954), and is largely responsible for the unification of Europe through the Treaty of Rome (1957).

Regardless of where these groups are from, their goal is a world government, and the U.N., which they established, is the platform from which it will be launched.

"Global Tyranny... Step by Step" (by William Jasper, 1992, Western Islands Publishers, P.O. Box 8040, Appleton, Wis. 54913) gives a well-documented examination of the past, present, and future role of the United Nations in the emerging New World Order. The titles of a few of its chapters:

Chapter 1 The New World Army Chapter 3 The U.N. Founders Chapter 5 The Drive for World Government Chapter 8 The U.N. Grab for Your Child Chapter 10 The New World Money System

The author also presents ways we can reverse this encroachment against our liberty and freedom.

The Rockefellers play a key role in America's financial and political life. Currently, David Rockefeller is the honorary chairman of both the CFR and the Tri Lateral Commission, as well as (through his Chase Manhattan Bank) a top stockholder in the Federal Reserve.

The Rockefeller influence goes back to 1890, when John D. Rockefeller was refining nearly 90% of all crude oil in the country, and frequently worked in concert with Wall Street banker J.P. Morgan. By the turn of the century, John D. purchased the Chase Bank and brother William bought the National City Bank of New York, and in 1913, became part of the Federal Reserve System.

The Rockefeller Foundation was also in full operation by that time, effectively nullifying the "tax on the wealthy" as the proponents of 16th Amendment had sought.

According to the Sept. 16, 1916 New York Times, the Rockefeller oil holdings alone were worth \$500 million. By 1930, the 200 largest corporations, under Rockefeller and Morgan influence, held over 49% of the assets of all 40,000 corporations in the country.

AT&T (controlled by Morgan) had greater assets than the total wealth in 21 states.

The influence of the Rockefeller and Morgan groups was so great, they could affect the economic life of the country to a large degree and almost control its political life on the Federal level.

The Rockefellers increased their leverage over the economy throughout the Depression years:

- 1) many of their competitors were hard-hit by the Stock Market Crash;
- 2) and, being a part of the Fed, the Rockefellers benefited from massive government borrowing;
- 3) which, in turn, furthered their control of industry, soon to be mobilized for war production.

America's entry into WWII enhanced all of the above, and their wealth continued to be funneled into the tax-exempt foundations.

Following the War, the U.S. was in such bad economic condition, that in 1950, it declared bankruptcy a second time and the Secretary of Treasury was appointed as "Receiver" in the Bankruptcy (Reorganization Plan No. 26, Title 5 U.S.C.A 903, Public Law 94-564, Legislative History, page 5967).

In 1953, Congressman Carroll Reese of Tennessee initiated a Congressional Committee to investigate the tremendous influence of the huge tax-exempt foundations and their control over the economy.

Yet, even Congress had little influence in diminishing the tremendous influence and control the international bankers exercised in the economy. The Rockefeller's wealth continued to expand - their foundations allows their wealth to compound.

Each year the Rockefellers can dump up to half of their income into their foundations and deduct these donations from their income tax. Nelson Rockefeller admitted at his confirmation hearings (for V.P.):

"The foundation pays no capital gains tax and no income tax, so those funds can continue to multiply."

And indeed they have. The following information on the Rockefeller's more current wealth is derived from Congressman Patman's Report in the Congressional Record. What I am about to give you is direct ownership of stock; pay particular attention to the oil companies.

In direct stock, they own: Exxon - 156.7 million; Rockefeller Center - 98 million; Standard of California - 85 million; IBM - 72.6 million; Chase Manhattan Bank - 18 million. Others that they have 10 million or more in are: Mobil Oil, Eastman Kodak, General Electric, Texas Instruments, Minnesota Mining & Mfg., and they own significant portions of about 50 other major American companies.

A look at their security holdings reveals: They control Chase-Manhattan

Bank, City National Bank of New York, and Chemical Bank, among others; these are in the top of the list.

The Chase Manhattan Bank deals in many foreign countries as well as corporations: well over 100 countries with about 50,000 affiliated banks.

A look at the interlocking boards of directors in the insurance industry, at the time this data was compiled, the Rockefellers controlled 3 of the 4 largest insurance companies in the world, that being Metropolitan Life, Equitable Life, and New York Life.

The assets of these three at that time was \$113 billion. They control others as well.

Just to summarize the banks and insurance companies, this amounts to 25% of all the assets of the 50 largest banks, and 30% of all the assets of the 50 largest life insurance companies. This gives them leverage over the economy that goes far beyond direct ownership.

Congressman Patman also found that if you control 5% or more of the stock in a corporation, whose stock is widely held, you have in effect, minority control. If we take those corporations that the Rockefeller family has 10% control, or 5%, and at least two members of their board of directors, we have the following:

Exxon, Mobil Oil, Standard of California, Standard of Indiana, International Harvester, Inland Steel, Marathon Oil, Quaker Oats, Wheeling-Pittsburgh Steel, Freeport Sulphur, and International Basic Economy Corporation. Corporations which are probably under Rockefeller influence, and I say probably, because it's extremely difficult to trace stock ownership through trusts and foundations, are these:

Texaco, IT&T, Westinghouse, Boeing, International Paper, Sperry Rand, Xerox, National Cash Register, National Steel, American Home Products, Pfizer, Avon, and Merck.

Merck has just agreed to buy Medco Containment, the nation's largest

marketer of discount prescription medicines. The acquisition makes Merck, by far, the biggest integrated producer and distributor of pharmaceuticals in the country. (Wall Street Journal, 7-29-93).

Transportation companies under the Rockefeller influence include Penn Central, TWA, Eastern Airlines, United Airlines, National Airlines, Delta, Braniff, and Consolidated Freightways. Others they control through interlocking boards of directors are: Allied Chemical, Anaconda Copper, DuPont, Monsanto, Olin-Mathison, Borden, National Distillers, Shell, Gulf, Union Oil, Dow, Celanese, Pittsburgh Plate Glass, Cities Service, Stauffer Chemical, Continental Oil, Union Carbide, American Cyanamid, American Motors, Bendix, and Chrysler. Isn't that odd?

Who's the biggest stock holders in Chrysler and you had to bail them out? Other's are CIT Financial, SS Kresge and RH Macy.

To summarize what I've just given you, that's 37 of the top 100 industrials, 9 of the top 20 transportation firms, the nation's No. 1 utility, three of the top four insurance companies, plus scores of smaller companies engaged in manufacturing, distribution, retail sales, loans or investments. These are just the known figures.

So can you start to see the economic power the Rockefeller family Now, in addition to this, recognize the power they have through the membership in the Tri Lateral Commission and the CFR through other heads of multi national corporations.

There were published several reports under the Senate Investigating Subcommittee on Reports, Accounting, and Management." They're under the "Committee on Governmental Affairs, United States Senate, January 1978."

The first report is entitled "Interlocking Boards of Directors Among Major U.S. Corporations".

This Senate study looked at the top 130 corporations and placed every name of every board of directors into a computer and found this: 70% of the top 130 corporations are interlocked directly or indirectly with the lower

companies. They even found many competing companies and corporations have the same board of directors and several members of the same board of directors, which is illegal according to Section 8 of the Clayton Act.

When this point is reached, you don't have competition anymore, you have price-fixing. Some of these people were sitting on 16 different boards of directors! In the April 1993 IBM proxy statement, this can be demonstrated. Also, 8 of these 18 directors are CFR. (IBM Notice of 1993 Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement; IBM, Armonk, N.Y. 10504)

The second report the same committee did was called "Voting Rights-Major U.S. Corporations". They looked at 122 corporations and found this: One corporation, Morgan Guaranty and Trust, was in the top five vote-holders of 57 of those corporations; another, City National Bank of New York, was in the top five voteholders of 36 of those.

That's 93 of the top 122 corporations. Now, who was the top vote-holder in the parent company of Morgan Guaranty and Trust? Number one was City National Bank of New York, and number two was the Chase Manhattan Bank, which means that the Rockefellers controlled Morgan Guaranty and Trust and also the City National Bank of New York.

The Rockefellers are in the top five voteholders in 93 of those 122 corporations. This is what the Subcommittee found in 1978.

Why didn't we hear about it? At that time, half of the members of the Senate Subcommittee over this study were CFR/Tri Lat.

There shouldn't be much doubt who controls the oil here. But what about the Middle East oil? Now, supposedly, OPEC has nationalized, in most cases, the oil fields over there. Aramco, an oil consortium of Exxon, Mobil, Texaco, and SOCAL got 42% on every petrodollar and OPEC 58% during the late 70's "energy crisis ". Do you think it bothered them that the price of gas doubled while the media was telling us there was an oil shortage?

The Tri Lat "engineered" the crisis. Its planning publication is called the "Triangle Papers". On page 73 of Publication No. 17, entitled "Energy:

Managing the Transition (1978), we find this:

"We therefore urge each Tri Lateral government to review its tax structure and develop specific energy taxes. An obvious example here is a higher federal excise tax on gasoline in the United States."

In other words, they created the crisis, and we paid for it at the pumps through higher prices and higher taxes. Who got blamed? OPEC.

The same people who control the oil here also exercise control in the Middle East. According to the 1974 report of the Senate Foreign Relations Subcommittee on Multinational Corporations, the Aramco consortium attempted to block America's emergency airlift to Israel, during the Yom Kippur War of 1973.

These companies cooperated closely with Saudi Arabia to deny oil and fuel to the U.S. Navy. In the 1930's, it was Standard Oil (Rockefeller) money that established the oil industry over there - Makes one wonder about Desert Storm.

Remember, it is Kissinger's belief that a world government can be brought about by controlling an international monetary system, oil/energy, and food. Consider how far the control of food has gotten:

In the seventies, Senator Gannon ran a study in five counties of Kansas. He found that 85,000 acres over the 8,000 acres legal limit were illegally owned by large multinational corporations.

The American Agriculture paper also reported that six coal companies, who were in turn controlled by the oil companies, owned somewhere in the neighborhood of 80% of the farm land in Illinois. These are just a few examples.

Remember, in 1973, the Tri Lateral Commission was formed, and in 1973 the large multinational corporations started diversifying. Into what? Food.

Through interlocking directors, at the time of this study, Gulf was

interlocked with General Foods and Ralston Purina; Mobil Oil with General Foods and H.J. Heinz; Standard of California with American Potato, Basic Vegetable Products, and Broadway Hale Stores; Atlantic Richfield Co. with Lincoln County Livestock, and Diamond A Cattle; Philips Petroleum with Campbell Soup; Citgo with Kraft and Campbell Soup; Getty Oil with A&P and Carnation; Standard Oil Of Ohio with National Biscuit; Hesslup with Borden; Safeway is controlled by the Chase Manhattan Bank; Tenneco controlled. at the time Of this report, approximately one and a half million acres of land, gas, oil, and chemicals; IT&T is interlocked with Wonderbread;

Case (farm implements) and International Harvester are controlled by the Rockefellers and John Deere S president, at that time, a member of the Tri Lateral Commission.

Who was the biggest beef producer through feedlots and fatteners? Greyhound bus. Who was the biggest pork producer in the world? Arnior, owned by Greyhound bus. One Of the largest cattle feeders by volume in the U.S. as well as one Of the largest grain companies is Cargill, (run by Whitney McMillan, Tri Lat member), and they bought Missouri Beef, one Of the largest beef packing plants.

Does the recent "collapse" of the U.S.S.R. tie into the New World Order?

The plans to bring the Soviet Union into a one-world government goes back to 1907 (see Tragedy and Hope, A History Of the World in Our Time, by Carroll Quigley, 1966; The McMillan CO.,

N.Y., available Angriff Press, P.O. Box 2726, Hollywood, California 90028).

The very same people who established the Federal Reserve Act, the graduated income tax, and the CFR also bankrolled the Bolshevik Revolution. This was a well-known fact in intelligence circles at that time.

In 1953, CFR member H. Rowan Gaither (Chairman of Ford Foundation) made a statement to Norman Dodd (chief investigator for the special Congressional Committee to Investigate Tax-Exempt Foundations):

We shall use our grant-making power to SO alter life in the United

States that we can be comfortably merged with the Soviet Union

Consider the following excerpts from the Washington Post Weekly 5-4-92

"The IMF, the World Bank, and the major industrial nations have decided to take a risk with Russia and former Soviet Republics... Russian Deputy Prime Minister Yegor Gaidar...last week swept through Washington, reassuring business leaders, as well as finance ministers and central bankers from the Group Of Seven major industrial nations."

"In an effort to get the banking system under control, Gerald Corrigan, the President of the New York Federal Reserve Bank (CFR/Tri Lat), has been visiting Moscow regularly since September (1991) to advise the Central bank and commercial banks. An acquaintance of former Fed Chairman Paul A. Volcker (CFR/TriLat), Says Volcker is close to an agreement that would make him consultant to Russian President Boris Yeltsin."

"In addition, David Rockefeller (CFR/Tri Lat), a former Chairman Of the Chase Manhattan Bank, says he has agreed to be part Of a group Corrigan is assembling that might help establish banking business in Russia."

"Once the IMF program is in place, the World Bank will supply direct support to the agriculture and oil sectors Of the Russian economy."

"The oil Sector is enough to solve any financial Crisis they (the Russians) might have", says World Bank President Lewis Preston (CFR & formerly with Morgan Guaranty). He says there are 33 undeveloped fields in W. Siberia."

Isn't that interesting? The World Bank and the IMF are "supporting" the agriculture and oil sectors thereby controlling the food and energy. It would be more accurate to say that the CFR/Tri Lat are controlling the agriculture and oil sectors.

Furthermore, the CFR/Tri Lat involvement in Russia's central bank has

recently (July 26, 1993) mandated a call in of all pre-1993 rubles, crippling the ability of the Russian citizen to provide for his family.

Not only does the U.S. taxpayer underwrite about 20% of the IMF and World Bank funding, but the afore-mentioned "G-7" central bankers are also stockholders in our Federal Reserve.

Yeltsin is working closely with the CFR/Tri Lat - what about Gorbachev? I have a transcript of Gorbachev's May 6, 1992 speech given at Westminster College. On page 9 he states:

"However, even now, at a time of sharply increased interdependence in the world, many countries are morbidly jealous of their sovereignty, many peoples of their national independence and identity - This is one of the newest global contradictions, one which must be overcome by joint effort." (Speech May 6, 1992, Westminster College, "The River of Time and the Imperative of Action" pg 9, by Mikhail Sergeevich Gorbachev.)

In Vancouver earlier this year, President Clinton promised Yeltsin \$1.6 billion in direct assistance, in addition to the billions promised by the G-7; Yet most Americans have no idea as to how long and how much Western aid and trade has gone into the USSR since 1917.

1) After the Bolshevik Revolution, Standard Oil of New Jersey (Rockefeller) bought 50% of Nobel's huge Caucasus oil fields;

2) In 1927, Standard Oil of New York (Rockefeller) built a refinery in Russia;

3) In 1928, Rockefeller's Chase Bank was involved in selling Bolshevik Bonds in the U.S. - patriotic organizations denounced Chase as an "international fence".

In the Congressional Record of June 15, 1933, Congressman Louis McFadden said:

"The Soviet government has been given U.S. Treasury funds by the Federal Reserve Banks acting through the Chase Bank and Morgan Guaranty Trust Co. and other banks in New York City...Open up the books of Amtorg, the trading organization of the Soviet government in New York, and of Gostorg, the general office of the Soviet Trade Organization, and the State Bank of the U.S.S.R. and you will be staggered to see how much American money has been taken from the U.S. Treasury for the benefit of Russia. Find out what business has been transacted for the State Bank of Soviet Russia by its correspondent, the Chase Bank of New York".

I might add that Congressman McFadden later died under "mysterious circumstances".

The following information is derived from Antony Sutton's comprehensive book "Best Enemy Money Can Buy", (by Antony Sutton, 1986, Liberty House Press, 2027 Iris Ln., Billings, Montana 59102) Sutton is acknowledged as the foremost expert on western technology and Soviet economic development.

He was a research fellow at the Hoover Institute On War & Peace; and therefore had access to information most researchers outside establishment circles were denied. Revealing this information cost him his job. Sutton documents that:

1) Ford set up the Gorki vehicle plant in 1929, upgraded by the Lend-Lease programs of WWII; vehicles produced in this plant were used against U.S. troops in Korea and Vietnam;

2) The Soviet tank industry began in 1929 under the guise of producing tread-type tractors; The Stalingrad plant was entirely constructed in the U.S., dismantled, and re-erected in the U.S.S.R. by American engineers. This was just one of several which utilized American machine tools and equipment;

3) In 1946, the Soviets bought 55 Rolls Royce turbo-jet engines from Great Britain, and reproduced them in quantity on American and German machine tools. These engines powered the MiG-15 fighters, used against our F-86

Sabre jets in Korea;

4) 37 of the 96 Soviet ships regularly employed on the "Haiphong Run" during the Vietnam War (keeping North Vietnam supplied) used diesel engines of western origin.

The missile-carrying Soviet ships of the 1962 Cuban Missile Crisis were propelled by engines produced in Denmark - a NATO ally;

5) From 1960 until 1972, the Soviets sought acquisition of a specialized machine tool manufactured by the Bryant Chucking Company of Springfield, Vermont.

This machine, the "Centalign B", produced high-precision miniature ball bearings, supplying 85% of its output to the U.S. defense industry for guidance systems in our missiles.

Under President Nixon and National Security Advisor Henry Kissinger, approval was given in 1972 to export 164 of these machines to the U.S.S.R.

By 1974, the Soviets had MIRV'd their ICBMs. This was made public on March 8, 1983 by Secretary of Defense Casper Weinberger, stating that the greatly improved accuracy of Soviet missiles was derived from U.S. technology;

6) In the 70's, the Kama River truck plant was built in the Soviet Union. It covers 36 square miles and can produce 100,000 multi-axle 10-ton trucks/year. 75% of the equipment and technology is from the U.S.; 25% is Italian and European, including European subsidiaries of U.S. companies.

American taxpayers underwrote the Kama River financing through the Export/Import Bank. Chase Manhattan (Rockefeller was the lead financier. It was built by the Pullman - Swindell Co. (a subsidiary of M.W. Kellogg Co.) of Pittsburgh;

7) The Volgograd automobile plant, built 1968-1971, has a capacity of 600,000 vehicles/year. Three-fourths of the equipment were U.S. manufacture, including key transfer lines and automated tools. The

construction contract was awarded to Fiat S.P.A., a firm closely associated with the Chase Manhattan Bank;

8) The 647 mile highway which connects the U.S.S.R. with Kabul, Afghanistan was under construction in 1966, built with Soviet money and U.S. foreign aid money. A 67 mile stretch of road through the Salang Pass cost \$42 million, prompting a U.S. engineer to say it was the costliest section of road he had ever seen.

This highway felt the rumble of Soviet tanks, heavy trucks, and military vehicles (many produced with American equipment and money) in December, 1979 when the Soviets invaded Afghanistan. The results - over one million casualties.

Sutton's book is extremely well-documented.

Richard F. Starr, a former U.S. Ambassador, has said that the former Soviet Union has stored at least 362 million metric tons of grain (much of it from the West), in fallout shelters. These supplies, according to University of N. Carolina economist Steven Rosefield, could feed the entire population of the former U.S.S.R. for 3-4 years.

In addition, there are reserves in 87 cities throughout the U.S.S.R. giving them total reserves in excess of 5 years. By contrast, the U.S. has 30-45 days of reserves. These Soviet reserves, given to them by the U.S. through credits with deferred payments, are being paid for by the U.S. taxpayer.

Senator Malcom Wallop (R-Wy.) pointed out that Soviet indebtedness is estimated to be at least \$100 billion and that Western loans to Soviet banks located in the West are not included in that figure; 80% of these loans are "untied", not restricted to any program or project. As of 1990, \$700 million a month were being loaned to the Soviet government.

In the meantime, the U.S. has spent billions in defense budgets to protect us from those who are recipients of not only loans and credits, but our technology as well!

("Best Enemy Money Can Buy" contains Appendix F: "U.S.firms trading with the Soviet Union in the 1960-1986 period, pages 231-235).

David Funderburk, a former Ambassador to Romania under the Reagan Administration, stepped down from his post in protest of U.S. policy toward Communist Romania and Eastern Europe. In his book, "Betrayal of America", he documents the collaboration between the leadership elite of the State Department and the Communist countries:

"...we're propping up Communist regimes which are bankrupt and would fall without our aid...aid from the U.S. government, from big business, and from big banks in America..." (Betrayal of America" by David B. Funderburk, former U.S. Ambassador to Romania, 1991, Dist. by Betrayal of America, P.O. Box 1124, Dunn, N. Carolina 28334).

In his book, "Reminiscences", John D. Rockefeller informs us:

"One of our greatest helpers has been the State Department in Washington. Our ambassadors, and ministers, and consuls have aided to push our way into new markets to the utmost corners of the world."

Is it any wonder? The CFR has dominated the State Department since WWII.

Why don't we hear about these things from the media? At the 1991 Bilderbergers' (European-based globalists) meeting in Baden Baden, Germany, the opening speaker was David Rockefeller. He said this:

"We are grateful to the Washington Post, the New York Times, Time magazine, and other publications whose directors have attended our meetings, and respected their promises of discretion for almost 40 years...It would have been impossible for us to develop our plan for the world if we had been subject to the bright lights of publicity during these years."

Who was among the invited guests? Bill Clinton and Dan Quayle. Among the communication corporations represented in the CFR/Tri Lat are these:

CBS

NBC

ABC

PBS

CNN

Washington Post

New York Times Co.

Wall Street Journal

Newsweek

U.S. News and World Report

Time, Inc

Associated Press and Reuters (both of which local papers rely upon)

CFR members who are TV news personalities include:

Dan Rather

Tom Brokaw

David Brinkley

John Chancellor

Barbara Walters

Diane Sawyer

Robert McNeil

Jim Lehrer

Daniel Schorr

David Brinkley (CFR), to a meeting of the American TV/Radio Broadcasters

Association, said this of the American people:

"All they know about public policy is what we tell them.

Sadly enough - true.

Scripture warns us about ignorance. Hosea 4:6:

"My people are destroyed from a lack of knowledge."

Public ignorance and apathy are allies of the globalists, and only recently have we heard the brazen call for a New World Order. Yet this phrase is

nothing new - It has been on the back of a one dollar bill since 1935. Under the pyramids the Latin phrase "Novus Ordo Seclorum", which means a "new secular order of the age;" in other words, a new world order without God.

The conspirators are confident of bringing in a one-world government, which includes plans to scrap our Constitution. They have been quietly acquiring the necessary 34 states' approval to call up a Constitution Convention (ConCon) in an effort to install a new constitution. ("New States of America" from "The Emerging Constitution", by Rexford G. Tugwell, 1974, Harper & Row). it is already written, and has been largely promoted by a group called the "Committee on a Constitutional System" (C.C.S). 19 of its 51-member board of directors are also members of the CFR. C. Douglas Dill (CFR, former Sec. Treasury) said:

"Such a significant shift in our Constitution is unlikely to come about except as a result of a crisis that is very grave indeed."

A financial crisis today would further their goals just as it did in 1929.

Will the new "deficit reduction bill" help the country or hasten a crisis? The majority of the bill's spending cuts will not take place until the President's term is over; in the meantime, over \$800 million interest each and every day will be added to the debt.

Will a balanced budget amendment (BBA) reign in spending? Every BBA proposal in Washington today would allow Congress to override any such amendment by a 60% vote. If Congress was sincere, a simple majority vote in the House (218) and the Senate (51) would balance the budget.

Remember, the country is still bankrupt; Furthermore, the Secretary of the Treasury is also the Governor of the International Monetary Fund (IMF). This is an alien/foreign financial institution (22 U.S.C.A. 286).

What does this mean? Look on the back of any returned check you mailed to the IRS for federal taxes since 1976: you will find that it has been endorsed by a Federal Reserve Bank.

Who owns the Fed? Your tax payment was allowed to go right out of the country! The definition of the national debt means all obligations owed by the Treasury Department to its creditors - i.e., the international bankers.

The real motive behind a balanced budget amendment is not to reign in spending, but to adopt a new Constitution. How close are they? They have 32 of the required 34. The American public got duped into the Federal Reserve Act and the 16th Amendment. Would it be any different now?

A number of things could precipitate a crisis, and like in 1929, could bring about a run on the banks. The President could invoke "Emergency Banking Regulation No. 1", which would restrict your right to withdraw on your bank account, and "...prohibit withdrawals of cash in any case...that such withdrawal is sought for the purpose of hoarding."

The following Executive Orders were combined by Richard Nixon into E.O. No. 11490, which activates all of the following under national emergency conditions:

- * EO 10995 provides for the control of the communications media
- * EO 10997 provides for the control of the power, fuels, and minerals
- * EO 10998 provides for the control of all food and farms
- * EO 10999 provides for the control of all transportation, highways, etc.
- * EO 11000 provides for the mobilization of all civilians into work brigades under government supervision
- * EO 11001 provides for control of all health, education, and welfare
- * EO 11002 designates the postmaster to operate a national registration of all persons
- * EO 11003 provides for control of all airports and aircraft
- * EO 11004 provides for relocation of any populations
- * EO 11005 provides for control of railroads, waterways, and public storage facilities

A one-world government would require a world law, a world court, and for enforcement, a world army. You can understand why our Constitution must be

scrapped, because Article 1, Section 8, clauses 13-16 gives Congress exclusive power over our armed forces. The sovereignty of our nation depends upon its ability to defend itself. Period.

What provisions would place our armed forces under a one-world government?

In Volume 9, of the 25 Volume set of the 1982 United States Code, on pages 554-558, you will find Public Law 87-297, which calls for the disarmament of the U.S. This has been in force since 1961.

This is further explained in "The Blueprint for Peace Race" as "Publication No. 4, General Series 3" published by the U.S. Arms Control and Disarmament Agency, May, 1962. An abridged version of this is State Department Document 7277. (Department of State, Disarmament Series 5, released September 1961, Office of Public Services, Bureau of Public Affairs, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C.)

The armed forces of this country are to be, in three stages, placed under the U.N. Undersecretary for Political and Security Council Affairs. This position has always overseen all U.N. military operations since 1946 - it has also been held by a Soviet this entire time (except 1954-1957, held by a communist from Yugoslavia). At the present, our troops in Somalia serve under Turkish General Bir, who answers to Russian U.N. Undersecretary Vladimir Petrovsky. The American public is being warmed up to the idea of U.S. troops serving a foreign flag, under the auspices of "humanitarian assistance."

Furthermore, the disarmament plan has provisions to permanently station foreign U.N. troops in our closed military bases, for "training". Of course, the media will tell us how much this will help the local economies.

At the end of stage 1 (of 3 stages), one half of our armed forces are to be placed under U.N. control, and all citizen-owned guns banned, hence the myth that gun control is crime control. What they don't say is that, under a world government, all populations must be disarmed. In this country, names would be collected from registration forms required by the 1968 Gun Control Act (which is patterned from the 1938 Nazi "Law on Weapons").

Why would the military leadership of this country, sworn to defend it, allow the armed forces to be placed under a U.N. flag?

CFR members, Defense Department

Sec. Defense Les Aspin
Undersec. for Policy Frank G. Wisner II
Asst. Sec. Internat'l Security Affairs Henry S. Rowen
Deputy Asst. Sec. Nuclear Fcs./Arms Control Frank C. Miller
Deputy Asst. Sec. Europe and NATO W. Bruce Weinrod
Chmn. Defense Policy Bd. Adm. Seymour Weiss
Sec. Army Michael P.W. Stone
Sec. Air Force Donald B. Rice
CFR members, Joint Chiefs of Staff
Chairman Gen. Colin Powell
Army Gen. Carl E. Vuono
CO Pac AF Gen. Merrill A. McPeak
Dir. Strat. Plans/Policy Lt. Gen. George L. Butler
Com., Air Univ Lt. Gen. Charles T. Boyd
AF Inspector Gen. Lt. Gen. Bradley C. Hosmer

CFR members, past Sec. of Defense
CFR members, past Allied Supreme Commanders

1957-59 McElroy 1949-52 Eisenhower
1959-61 Gates 1952-53 Ridgeway
1961-63 McNamara 1953-56 Gruenther
1969-73 Laird 1956-63 Norstad
1973 Richardson 1963-69 Lemnitzer
1975-77 Rumsfeld 1969-74 Goodpaster
1977-81 Brown 1974-79 Haig
1981-87 Weinberger 1979-87 Rogers
1987-89 Carlucci
1989-93 Cheney
1993- Aspin

There are, however, many in our armed forces who are patriotic to this

nation. Pray for them.

As James Madison warned, there has been a:

"silent and gradual encroachment of those in power".

We are on the verge of "global tyranny", as Jasper so aptly states.

We are a Constitutional Republic, steeped in Christian heritage and guaranteed certain God-given inalienable rights. I will repeat this again: we have God-given rights - we are accountable to Him for everything, including our liberty and freedom.

Our rights carry responsibilities. To dismiss those responsibilities is a sin of omission, no different than a sin of commission - God detests both. Proverbs 13:22 says:

"A good man leaves an inheritance to his children's children."

Over 957,000 patriotic Americans have given their lives in defense of our country. Their legacy is the freedom and liberty we now have. We are their posterity. Don't let their sacrifice become in vain.

Although we are not on the battlefields of France, or an atoll in the Pacific, or a hill in Korea, or a jungle in Vietnam, or even a desert in Saudi Arabia, we are indeed engaged in a battle.

That battlefield is in America. A war is being waged against God, country, and family. Why? We are not only the last bastion of freedom, but 85% of the Great Commission (Mt. 28:19, 20) emanates from America.

What can we do?

1) Invite the Lord into your life. Greater is He who is in you than he who is in the world (I John 4:4);

2) Become informed, not misinformed. You know whose camp the major media is

in - and it's not in yours. The best publication I know of for becoming informed is "The New American" magazine, a bi-weekly publication written by people who embrace the same ideals as our Founders (P.O. Box 8040, Appleton, Wisconsin 54913, 1-800-341-1522),

3) Pray for your elected representative - many have forgotten what "representative" means. Voice your concerns to them - but do so from a perspective of knowledge and insight. There are many groups who address the effects - but have no insight as to the cause.

4) Are you one of those people who say, "I don't know where the money goes - it costs twice as much to live beyond my means as it use to"? Romans 13:8 says:

"Let no debt remain outstanding, except the continuing debt to love one another.

If you live by the world system, you will be subjugated by the same.

Alexis De Tocqueville, in 1840, made the following observation after his visit in America:

"I sought for the key to the greatness and genius of America in her harbors...; in her fertile fields and boundless forests; in her rich mines and vast world commerce; in her public school system and institutions of higher learning. I sought for it in her democratic Congress and in her matchless Constitution. Not until I went into the churches of America and heard her pulpits flame with righteousness did I understand the secret of her genius and power. America is great because America is good, and if America ever ceases to be good, America will cease to be great."

What is the alternative? A New World Order, which will make us, as Paul warns, slaves of men".

Amen.